

## ${f EDGEWOOD}$

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT & ENGINEERING CENTER

U.S. ARMY SOLDIER AND BIOLOGICAL CHEMICAL COMMAND

**ERDEC-TR-548** 

# SULFUR CHEMILUMINESCENCE DETECTION COMPARED TO SULFUR FLAME PHOTOMETRIC DETECTION

Michael W. Ellzy L. Gail Janes

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE

December 1998





# Disclaimer The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorizing documents.

### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank,                         | 2. REPORT DAT         | TE                  | 3. REPORT TYPE AND      | DATES CO   | OVERED                     |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
|  | 1998 Dec              | ember               | Final; 94 Jan -         | 94 Mar     |                            |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE                                    |                       |                     |                         | 5. FUNDII  | NG NUMBERS                 |
| Sulfus Chamiltuminasanas D                               | stastian Commons      | al &a. C. (16) as 1 | Clama Dhatamatria       |            |                            |
| Sulfur Chemiluminescence D                               | etection Compare      | a to Sultur I       | Flame Photometric       | PR-1       | 0262622A553                |
| Detection  |                       |                     |                         | ''''       | 02020227000                |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)   |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| o. Admon(d)  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| Ellzy, Michael W., and Janes                             | I Gail                |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N                             | AME(S) AND ADDRESS    | (ES)                |                         | 8. PERFC   | ORMING ORGANIZATION        |
|  |                       |                     |                         | REPOR      | RT NUMBER                  |
| DIR, ERDEC, ATTN: SCBRE                                  | -RTC, APG, MD 2       | 21010-5424          |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         | ERD        | EC-TR-548                  |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE                             | NCY NAME(S) AND AD    | DRESS(ES)           |                         |            | ISORING/MONITORING         |
|  |                       |                     |                         | AGEN       | ICY REPORT NUMBER          |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES                                  |                       |                     |                         | l.,        |                            |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES                                  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S                         | TATEMENT              |                     |                         | I 40h DICT | RIBUTION CODE              |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S                         | IATEMENT              |                     |                         | 120. 0151  | RIBUTION CODE              |
| Approved for public release;                             | distribution is unlin | nited.              |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| •  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words,                         |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| Detection of compounds cont                              | aining culfur botor   | otom io trac        | ditionally accomplished | d using 4  | Nome abatemetria           |
| Detection of compounds cont detection (FPD). Sulfur chen | alluminoscopco do     | toction (SC         | D) is an alternative to | a using i  | his report compares the    |
| FPD with two SCD configurat                              | ions using various    | sulfur cont         | aining compounds as     | nrobee     | Attention was focused on   |
| detector linearity versus resp                           |                       | Sunui Cont          | aning compounds as      | probes.    | Attention was locused on   |
|  | J.1.001               |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  | •                     |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         | •          |                            |
|  |                       |                     |                         |            |                            |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS  |                       |                     |                         |            | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES        |
| Flame photometric detector                               |                       | Sulfur het          | eratom                  |            | 45                         |
| Sulfur chemiluminescence de                              | tector                |                     | matography              |            | 19                         |
| 2 5.16.1   |                       | <b>5</b> 45 011101  | natography              |            | 16. PRICE CODE             |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION                              | 18. SECURITY CLASS    | IFICATION To        | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA | TION       | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT |
| OF REPORT  | OF THIS PAGE          |                     | OF ABSTRACT             |            |                            |
| UNCLASSIFIED   | UNCLASSIF             | IED                 | UNCLASSIFIED            |            | UL                         |

Blank

### PREFACE

The work described in this report was authorized under Project No. 10262622A553, CB Defense and General Investigation. This work was started in January 1994 and completed in March 1994.

The use of either trade or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

This report has been approved for public release. Registered users should request additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center; unregistered users should direct such requests to the National Technical Information Service.

Blank

### CONTENTS

| 1.  | INTRODUCTION                 | 7  |
|-----|------------------------------|----|
|     | EXPERIMENTATION              |    |
| 2.1 | Instrumentation              |    |
| 2.2 | GC Parameters                |    |
| 2.3 | Chemiluminescence Parameters | 8  |
| 2.4 | Materials                    |    |
| 3.  | DISCUSSION AND RESULTS       |    |
| •   |                              |    |
| 4.  | CONCLUSION                   | 11 |

# TABLES

| 1 | Chemical Structure and Retention Times of the Components  | . 12 |
|---|---|------|
| 2 | Comparison of Sulfur Detector Responses for Flame Photometric and Chemiluminescence for 2-Thiophene acetonitrile and 2-Chloroethylethyl Sulfide | . 13 |
| 3 | Comparison of Sulfur Detector Responses for Flame Photometric and Chemiluminescence for Tert-Butyl Sulfide and 2-Chloroethyl methyl Sulfide     | . 14 |
| 4 | Comparison of Sulfur Detector Responses for Flame Photometric and Chemiluminescence for 1,4-Thioxane and 1,4-Dithiane                           | . 15 |
| 5 | Comparison of Sulfur Detector Responses for Flame Photometric and Chemiluminescence for 2,2'-Dichloroethyl Sulfide and Dibenzothiophene         | . 16 |
| 6 | Comparison of Sulfur Detector Responses for Flame Photometric and Chemiluminescence for Octyl Sulfide and Propyl Sulfide                        | . 17 |
| 7 | Comparison of Sulfur Detector Responses for Flame Photometric and Chemiluminescence for Diethyl Sulfide and Ethyl Methyl Sulfide                | . 18 |
| 8 | Physical Properties of Compounds Analyzed in Table 1  | . 19 |

# SULFUR CHEMILUMINESCENCE DETECTION COMPARED TO SULFUR FLAME PHOTOMETRIC DETECTION

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Sulfur detection is a selective means of screening complex matrices for the presence of chemical warfare agents (CWA) bis(2-chloroethyl) sulfide (HD), bis[2(2-chloroethylthio)ethyl]ether (T), and associated analogs such as thiodiglycol and 1,4-dithiane. In the continuing effort to improve detection capability, the use of chemiluminescence has been investigated. Selected compounds were analyzed with triplicate injections and detection compared between the Sievers model 350B, Sievers model 355, and Varian flame photometric detectors (FPD). Chromatographic conditions were equivalent where possible.

Our goal for this detection capability is to provide increased sensitivity for the analysis of soils, waters, brines, and decontamination solutions for the presence of CWAs, precursors, and decomposition products. Work is currently performed using the Varian FPD. Farwell and Barinaga<sup>1</sup> describe the principal of this type of detection. Dyson<sup>2</sup> thoroughly describes the construction and principal of chemiluminescence.

### 2. EXPERIMENTATION

### 2.1 <u>Instrumentation</u>.

A Varian VISTA 6000 gas chromatograph (GC) was equipped with an FPD in the sulfur mode, on-column injection port, and a J&W, DB-608, 30 m, 0.53 mm i.d., 0.83  $\mu$ m film column with helium flowing at 10 mL/min. A Leap Technologies (Chapel Hill, NC) model CTC A200S autosampler was used to perform the 1  $\mu$ L sample injections. Data and chromatograms were generated on a Varian Instruments (Valencia, CA) 402 data system.

A Hewlett-Packard (HP) (Wilmington, DE) 5880A GC equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) was interfaced to a chemiluminescence detector, model 355, supplied by Sievers Research, Incorporated (Boulder, CO). The GC was equipped with an on-column injection port and a Restek (Bellefonte, PA) Rtx-3 m, 0.53 mm i.d., 1.0  $\mu$ m film, or a J&W, DB-5, 30 m, 0.53 mm i.d., 1.5  $\mu$ m film column with helium flowing at 10 mL/min. A Leap Technologies model CTC A200S autosampler was used to perform the 1  $\mu$ L sample injections. Data and chromatograms were generated on an HP 5880A integrator.

An HP 5890 series II GC equipped with an FID was interfaced to a chemiluminescence detector, model 350B, supplied by Sievers Research, Incorporated. The GC was equipped with a vaporization liner in the injection port and a Restek Rtx-35, 30 m, 0.53 mm i.d., 1.0 µm film column with helium flowing at 10 mL/min. The injection port design required a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Farwell, S.O., and Barinaga, C.J., "Sulfur-Selective Detection with the FPD: Current Enigmas, Practical Usage, and Future Directions," J. of Chromatographic Science Volume 24 (1986).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Dyson, M., "The Sievers SCD 350 Detector," Analytical Proceedings Volume 30 (1993).

1 mL/min split flow and 0.5 mL/min septum purge. An HP 7673 auto injector was used to perform the 1  $\mu$ L sample injections. An HP 3396A integrator was used to generate the data and chromatograms.

### 2.2 GC Parameters.

Oven Temperature Initial Value: 60 °C

Initial Hold Time: 3 min

Program Rate: 10°/min

Oven Temperature Final Value: 250 °C

Final Hold Time: 5 min

Injector Temperature: 250 °C

Detector Temperature on Varian: 300 °C

### 2.3 Chemiluminescence Parameters.

Sievers Chemiluminescence Detector model 350

Air: 450 mL/min at pressure of 70 psi

Hydrogen: 210 mL/min at pressure of 60 psi

Vacuum at detector module 12.5 torr

Air to ozone generator set for 0.6 psi

Sievers Chemiluminescence Detector model 355

Air: 120 mL/min at pressure of 34 psi

Hydrogen: 90 mL/min at pressure of 32 psi

Vacuum at detector module 11 torr

Air to ozone generator set for 0.6 psi

### 2.4 <u>Materials</u>.

The standards were prepared from Baxter, Burdick, and Jackson capillary GC/GC/mass spectroscopy grade hexane. Bis(2-chloroethyl) sulfide was obtained from the Chemical Transfer Facility, U.S. Army Edgewood Research, Development and Engineering

NOTE: The initial temperature for ethyl methyl sulfide was 40 °C.

Center (ERDEC). The simulants, 2-chloroethyl ethyl sulfide (CEES), and O,S-diethylmethyl-phosphonothiolate (OS DEMP),\* were synthesized by chemists in Research and Technology Directorate, ERDEC. The remaining compounds were supplied as follows:

Aldrich Chemical Company, Incorporated, Milwaukee, WI:

Ethyl methyl sulfide

Propyl sulfide

Octyl sulfide

tert-Butyl sulfide

2-Chloroethyl methyl sulfide

1.4-Thioxane

1,4-Dithiane

Dibenzothiophene

2-Thiophene acetonitrile

2-Mercaptoethanol\*

2-(Methylthio)ethanol\*

Alfa, Johnson Matthey Catalog Company, Incorporated, Ward Hill, MA: Diethyl sulfide

### 3. DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The concentrations of the compounds were prepared in a range of 0.5 to 20  $\mu$ g/mL. The range for each compound was selected to obtain an equivalent concentration range among all compounds. Four concentrations were analyzed in triplicate for each compound. The retention times and chemical structures of the compounds are listed in Table 1.

Detector responses have been tabulated for each compound in Tables 2-7. Compound name, concentration, column type, average response, and standard deviation are tabulated. Overall examination shows the FPD could not detect sulfur at the lowest concentration range of 0.54 to 1.2 µg/mL for all compounds analyzed except 1,4-dithiane and dibenzothiophene. Sievers model 355 could not detect the same concentration range for all compounds except tert-butyl sulfide and ethyl methyl sulfide. Table 8 provides some physical properties necessary for computing sulfur concentration for compounds analyzed.

<sup>\*</sup>These compounds were not used due to very poor chromatography.

The Sievers chemiluminescence detector model 350B was superior to the Varian FPD and Sievers chemiluminescence detector model 355 by linearity and sensitivity. The Sievers model 350B performed well for all of the compounds analyzed.

The Varian FPD, although not as sensitive as the Sievers model 350B, did detect all of the compounds and maintained linearity.

The Sievers model 355 did not detect the 1,4-thioxane, dibenzothiophene, or octyl sulfide. This detector was not as sensitive as the FPD for 1,4-dithiane and ethyl methyl sulfide. However, it was more sensitive for tert-butyl sulfide; otherwise, it performed the same as the FPD.

To verify that observed sensitivity differences between Sievers models was neither probe nor detector module related, we interchanged the detector units on the HP 5880 and HP 5890. The resulting differences were insignificant.

This lack of response between the Sievers models 355 and 350B is believed to be due to a degree of cooling experienced with the Sievers model 355 plasma flame versus the FID open flame construction required for the Sievers model 350B. A second assumption is the stabilization compounds experience because of functional group shield and/or surrounding electron clouds. These hypotheses require further investigation.

The chemiluminescence detector technique used to screen decontamination solutions for the presence of specific sulfur-containing compounds is very useful due to the absence of hydrocarbon interferences, which exist with FPD analysis. The technique must be coupled to a switching valve to vent halogenated solvents. Our work shows halogenated solvents drastically reduce the sensitivity upon the initial injection of any extracted sulfur analyte. Our specific work was performed with methylene chloride and chloroform. We also found that methanol and ethanol reduced the sensitivity of both the Sievers models (350B and 355). We strongly recommend the use of either hexane or any similar hydrocarbon.

The second sulfur concentration range not detected occurred with the FPD analysis of 2-thiophene acetonitrile, octyl sulfide, and methyl ethyl sulfide. The Sievers model 355 did not detect the second concentration range for analytes 2-thiophene acetonitrile, 1,4-thioxane, 1,4-dithiane, dibenzothiophene, and octyl sulfide. This detector also failed to detect all concentrations for 1,4-thioxane, dibenzothiophene, and octyl sulfide. The Sievers model 350B detected all compounds and concentrations.

Data trends indicated lower concentration values result in higher value for the standard deviation. For example, the percent standard deviation for the concentration of tert-butyl sulfide dropped from 4% for 1.701  $\mu$ g/mL to 1% at 6.804  $\mu$ g/mL for the FPD, from 7 to 3% for Sievers model 350B, and from 14 to 7% over the same concentration range. The high range on the model 350B is attributed to the difference observed in on-column injection performed on the Varian 6000 and HP 5880 versus the vaporization configuration standard on the HP 5890 Series II megabore injectors.

### 4. CONCLUSION

Examination based on sensitivity suggests the Sievers detectors (models 355/350B) in some cases performed better than the Varian flame photometric detectors (FPD). Overall, examination indicates the FPD is the recommended detector for screening unknown sulfur compounds. Our experiments indicate that the Sievers sulfur sensitivity is compound structure related. This is exemplified by comparison of responses measured for 1,4-thioxane on the Sievers model 355 versus 350B. Unexpectedly, the model 350B detected all compounds. It is theorized that the reduced response on the Sievers model 355 is attributed to the plasma flame this system uses. Further study is required.

TABLE 1. CHEMICAL STRUCTURE AND RETENTION TIMES OF THE COMPONENTS

|    | CHEMICAL NAME                           | CHEMICAL STRUCTURE   | RT   |
|----|---|--|------|
| 1  | 2-Chloroethylethyl sulfide              | CI-CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> -S-CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>                        | 5.0  |
| 2  | O,S-Diethylmethyl-<br>phosphonothiolate | O<br>CH <sub>3</sub> -P-SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub><br>OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> | *    |
| 3  | tert-butyl sulfide                      | [(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> C] <sub>2</sub> S   | 3.5  |
| 4  | 2-chloroethyl methyl sulfide            | CICH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> SCH <sub>3</sub>   | 3.4  |
| 5  | 2-Thiophene acetonitrile                | C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NS   | 11.6 |
| 6  | 1,4-Thioxane                            | C₄H <sub>8</sub> OS  | 4.6  |
| 7  | 1,4-Dithiane                            | C₄H <sub>8</sub> S₂  | 8.6  |
| 8  | 2-Mercaptoethanol                       | HSCH₂CH₂OH   |      |
| 9  | Dibenzothiophene                        | C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>8</sub> S   | 18.7 |
| 10 | Diethyl sulfide                         | (CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> S  | 1.2  |
| 11 | Ethyl methyl sulfide                    | C₃H <sub>8</sub> S   | 1.2  |
| 12 | Octyl sulfide                           | C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>34</sub> S  | 18.1 |
| 13 | Propyl sulfide                          | C <sub>6</sub> H₁₄S  | 3.5  |
| 14 | 2-(methylthio)ethanol                   | CH₃SCH₂CH₂OH   | *    |
| 15 | 2,2'-Dichloroethyl sulfide              | CICH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> SCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CI                        | 10.0 |
|    |   |  | •    |

<sup>\*</sup>These compounds were dropped from the study due to very poor chromatography.

TABLE 2. COMPARISON OF SULFUR DETECTOR RESPONSES FOR FLAME PHOTOMETRIC AND CHEMILUMINESCENCE FOR 2-THIOPHENE ACETONITRILE AND 2-CHLOROETHYLETHYL SULFIDE

|                                       | 2-Thiophene Acetonitrile |                   |   |                   |         |       |         |                      |   |       |        |         |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|--|--|
|                                       | V                        | arian Ff          | D |                   |         | Sieve | rs/HP 5 | 880                  |   | Sie   | vers/h | 1P 5890 |  |  |
| Molecular<br>Weight(5)                |                          | DB-608<br>0.83 µr |   |                   | 5<br>µm |       |         | Rtx-35 Rtx-35 1.0 μm |   |       |        |         |  |  |
| (µg/mL)                               | x                        | %                 | n | х                 | %       | n     | x       | %                    | n | x     | %      | n       |  |  |
| 0.6                                   | -                        | -                 | - | -                 | -       | -     | -       | -                    | - | 34035 | 2      | 583     |  |  |
| 1.2                                   | -                        | -                 | - | -                 | -       | -     | -       | -                    | - | 91338 | 13     | 11985   |  |  |
| 3.0                                   | 78                       | 6                 | 5 | 5 73 36 27 189012 |         |       |         |                      |   |       | 6      | 10561   |  |  |
| 6.0 170 2 4 132 14 18 300142 10 30580 |                          |                   |   |                   |         |       |         |                      |   |       |        |         |  |  |

|  | 2-Chloroethylethyl Sulfide |      |    |      |                                    |     |     |        |    |                  |    |       |  |  |
|--|----------------------------|------|----|------|------------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|----|------------------|----|-------|--|--|
| Varian FPD Sievers/HP 5880 Sievers/HP 5890 |                            |      |    |      |                                    |     |     |        |    |                  |    |       |  |  |
| Molecula<br>Weight (                       |                            | DB-6 |    |      | DB-5<br>1.5 μ                      |     |     | Rtx-35 |    | Rtx-35<br>1.0 µm |    |       |  |  |
| (µg/mL)                                    | х                          | %    | n  | x    | %                                  | n   | х   | %      | n  | x                | %  | n     |  |  |
| 0.5  | -                          | -    | -  | -    | -                                  | -   | -   | -      | -  | 41789            | 3  | 1348  |  |  |
| 1.1  | 93                         | 3    | 3  | 245  | 45                                 | 111 | 114 | 7      | 8  | 118613           | 17 | 19692 |  |  |
| 5.4  | 224                        | 3    | 7  | 585  | 3                                  | 15  | 497 | 4      | 22 | 586352           | 5  | 28197 |  |  |
| 10.8                                       | 528                        | 2    | 10 | 1099 | 099 3 29 1133 10 11 1295657 9 1190 |     |     |        |    |                  |    |       |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>See Table 8 for compounds' physical properties.

TABLE 3. COMPARISON OF SULFUR DETECTOR RESPONSES FOR FLAME PHOTOMETRIC AND CHEMILUMINESCENCE FOR TERT-BUTYL SULFIDE AND 2-CHLOROETHYL METHYL SULFIDE

|   | tert-Butyl Sulfide |                 |     |      |                |            |         |              |    |            |       |       |  |  |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|-----|------|----------------|------------|---------|--------------|----|------------|-------|-------|--|--|
|   | ١                  | /arian          | FPD |      | S              | ievers/    | HP 5880 |              | •  | Sieve      | rs/HP | 5890  |  |  |
| Molecula<br>Weight (3                             |                    | DB-60<br>0.83 µ | _   |      | )B-5<br>I.5 µm | 1          |         | x-35<br>0 µm |    | Rtx<br>1.0 |       |       |  |  |
| (µg/mL) x % n                                     |                    |                 |     | х    | %              | n          | x       | %            | n  | ×          | %     | n     |  |  |
| 0.68  | -                  | -               | -   | 375  | 7              | <b>2</b> 5 | 269     | 7            | 19 | 113550     | 4     | 4436  |  |  |
| 1.70  | 150                | 4               | 6   | 931  | 6              | 58         | 742     | 7            | 51 | 310947     | 14    | 44342 |  |  |
| 3.40  | 458                | 3               | 13  | 2369 | 2              | 43         | 1461    | 6            | 81 | 597715     | 6     | 37964 |  |  |
| 6.80 743 1 10 3612 2 70 3281 3 87 1166566 7 77575 |                    |                 |     |      |                |            |         |              |    |            |       |       |  |  |

|  | 2-Chloroethyl Methyl Sulfide     |        |     |     |     |         |      |    |    |         |       |       |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|----|----|---------|-------|-------|--|--|
|  | V                                | 'arian | FPD |     | Sie | vers/HF | 5880 |    |    | Sieve   | rs/HF | 5890  |  |  |
| Molecular DB-608 DB-5 Rtx-35 Rtx-35   Weight (4)* 0.83 μm 1.5 μm 1.0 μm 1.0 μm |                                  |        |     |     |     |         |      |    |    |         |       |       |  |  |
| (µg/mL)  | х                                | %      | n   | ×   | %   | n       | x    | %  | n  | x       | %     | n     |  |  |
| 1.0  | -                                | -      | -   | _   | -   | -       | -    | •  | -  | 74637   | 4     | 3071  |  |  |
| 2.0  | 56                               | 11     | 5   | 37  | 70  | 26      | 107  | 35 | 38 | 112487  | 4     | 3327  |  |  |
| 4.0  | 134                              | 1      | 2   | 165 | 23  | 38      | 161  | 32 | 15 | 207541* | 2     | 5075  |  |  |
| 10.0   | 10.0 348 8 3 428 12 52 320 10 32 |        |     |     |     |         |      |    |    | 331724  | 3     | 11412 |  |  |

\*See Table 8 for compounds' physical properties.

NOTE: For the HP 5890, the concentration of the third vial was 6 µg/mL, instead of 4 µg/mL.

TABLE 4. COMPARISON OF SULFUR DETECTOR RESPONSES FOR FLAME PHOTOMETRIC AND CHEMILUMINESCENCE FOR 1,4-THIOXANE AND 1,4-DITHIANE

|                         |     |                   |    |                              | 1,  | 4-Thic | xane   |   |   |                  |        |       |  |
|-------------------------|-----|-------------------|----|------------------------------|-----|--------|--------|---|---|------------------|--------|-------|--|
|                         | Va  | arian F           | PD |                              | Sie | vers/H | IP 588 | 0 |   | Siever           | s/HP 5 | 890   |  |
| Molecular<br>Weight (6) |     | 0B-608<br>0.83 µn |    | DB-5 Rtx-35<br>1.5 μm 1.0 μm |     |        |        |   |   | Rtx-35<br>1.0 µm |        |       |  |
| (µg/mL)                 | n   | х                 | %  | n                            | x   | %      | n      | x | % | n                |        |       |  |
| 1.15                    | -   | -                 | -  | -                            | _   | -      | -      | - | - | 70873            | 3      | 1932  |  |
| 2.89                    | 154 | 3                 | 5  | -                            | -   | -      | -      | - | - | 167142           | 2      | 2695  |  |
| 5.77                    | 335 | 0.5               | 21 | -                            | -   | -      | -      | - | - | 340920           | 0.4    | 1332  |  |
| 11.54                   | 741 | 0.3               | 2  | -                            | -   | -      | -      | - | - | 664329           | 5      | 35213 |  |

|                        |  |                   |    |   |               | 1,4-Dit | hiane            |    |    |                  |     |      |  |  |
|------------------------|--|-------------------|----|---|---------------|---------|------------------|----|----|------------------|-----|------|--|--|
|                        | Varian FPD Sievers/HP 5880 Sievers/HP 5890 |                   |    |   |               |         |                  |    |    |                  |     |      |  |  |
| Molecular<br>Weight (7 |  | DB-608<br>0.83 μr |    |   | DB-5<br>1.5 μ | m       | Rtx-35<br>1.0 µm |    |    | Rtx-35<br>1.0 µm |     |      |  |  |
| (µg/mL)                | (μg/mL) x % n >                            |                   |    |   |               | n       | x                | %  | n  | ×                | %   | n    |  |  |
| 0.67                   | 58   | 17                | 10 | - | -             | -       | -                | -  | -  | 64856            | 4   | 2711 |  |  |
| 1.69                   | 164  | 2                 | 4  | - | -             | -       | -                | -  | -  | 154719           | 0.5 | 840  |  |  |
| 3.37                   | 345  | 0.3               | 1  | - | -             | -       | 61               | 28 | 17 | 320976           | 0.5 | 1540 |  |  |
| 6.74                   | 74 711 0.1 1 194 21 41 635961 2 1380       |                   |    |   |               |         |                  |    |    | 13802            |     |      |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>See Table 8 for compounds' physical properties.

TABLE 5. COMPARISON OF SULFUR DETECTOR RESPONSES FOR FLAME PHOTOMETRIC AND CHEMILUMINESCENCE FOR 2,2'-DICHLORO-ETHYL SULFIDE AND DIBENZOTHIOPHENE

|   |     |         |    | 2   | ,2'-Dich | loroeth | yl Sulfid      | е  |    |        |        |      |
|---|-----|---------|----|-----|----------|---------|----------------|----|----|--------|--------|------|
|   | V   | arian F | PD |     | Si       | evers/H | P <b>5</b> 880 |    |    | Siever | s/HP 5 | 890  |
| Molecular DB-608 DB-5 Rtx-35 Rtx-35   Weight (15)* 0.83 μm 1.5 μm 1.0 μm 1.0 μm |     |         |    |     |          |         |                |    |    |        |        |      |
| (µg/mL)   | x   | %       | n  | x   | %        | n       | x              | %  | n  | x      | %      | n    |
| 0.91  | -   | -       | -  | -   | -        | -       | -              | -  | -  | 30186  | 3      | 961  |
| 2.26  | 189 | 1       | 2  | -   | -        | -       | 114            | 14 | 17 | 77757  | 4      | 2751 |
| 4.53  | 312 | 1       | 3  | 46  | 69       | 32      | 215            | 11 | 23 | 155300 | 0.6    | 949  |
| 9.05  | 439 | 1       | 6  | 147 | 31       | 46      | 433            | 1  | 6  | 301061 | 1      | 3186 |

|                        | Dibenzothiophene |                   |    |   |                |        |                  |    |   |           |        |       |  |  |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----|---|----------------|--------|------------------|----|---|-----------|--------|-------|--|--|
|                        | V                | /arian FF         | PD |   | s              | ievers | /HP 58           | 80 |   | Sieve     | ers/HP | 5890  |  |  |
| Molecular<br>Weight (9 |                  | DB-608<br>0.83 µm | 1  |   | DB-5<br>1.5 µm | ı      | Rtx-35<br>1.0 µm |    |   | Rt<br>1.0 |        |       |  |  |
| (µg/mL)                | x                | %                 | n  | х | %              | n      | x                | %  | n | x         | %      | n     |  |  |
| 2.11                   | 77               | 3                 | 2  | - | -              | -      | -                | -  | - | 53139     | 5      | 2719  |  |  |
| 4.22                   | 134              | 3                 | 3  | - | -              | -      | -                | -  | - | 108612    | 4      | 3830  |  |  |
| 8.44                   | 274              | 0.4               | 1  | - | -              | -      | -                | -  | • | 230534    | 2      | 4213  |  |  |
| 16.9                   | 16.9 602 0.5 3   |                   |    |   |                |        |                  |    |   | 459431    | 2      | 10234 |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>See Table 8 for compounds' physical properties.

TABLE 6. COMPARISON OF SULFUR DETECTOR RESPONSES FOR FLAME PHOTOMETRIC AND CHEMILUMINESCENCE FOR OCTYL SULFIDE AND PROPYL SULFIDE

| Octyl Sulfide                            |     |         |    |                |   |          |                  |                         |   |                  |   |      |
|--|-----|---------|----|----------------|---|----------|------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------|---|------|
|  | V   | arian F | PD |                | S | ievers/l | HP 5880          | HP 5880 Sievers/HP 5890 |   |                  |   | 5890 |
| Molecular DB-608<br>Weight (12)* 0.83 µm |     |         |    | DB-5<br>1.5 μm |   |          | Rtx-35<br>1.0 µm |                         |   | Rtx-35<br>1.0 µm |   |      |
| (µg/mL)                                  | x   | %       | n  | x              | % | n        | x                | %                       | n | х                | % | n    |
| 1.17                                     | -   | -       | -  | -              | - | -        | -                | -                       | - | 17097            | 8 | 1382 |
| 2.35                                     | -   | -       | -  | •              | - | -        | -                | -                       | - | 24429            | 2 | 480  |
| 4.70                                     | 53  | 4       | 2  | -              | - | -        | -                | -                       | • | 39344            | 5 | 2075 |
| 9.40                                     | 155 | 2       | 3  | -              | - | -        | -                | -                       | - | 71367            | 3 | 2078 |

| Propyl Sulfide         |                              |          |   |                 |                  |   |      |    |    |                 |    |       |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------|---|-----------------|------------------|---|------|----|----|-----------------|----|-------|
|                        | V                            | arian FF | D | Sievers/HP 5880 |                  |   |      |    |    | Sievers/HP 5890 |    |       |
| Molecular<br>Weight (1 | DB-5 Rtx-35<br>1.5 μm 1.0 μm |          |   |                 | Rtx-35<br>1.0 µm |   |      |    |    |                 |    |       |
| (µg/mL)                | x                            | %        | n | x               | %                | n | x    | %  | n  | x               | %  | n     |
| 0.84                   | -                            | -        | • | -               | -                | • | -    | -  | -  | 40104           | 5  | 1993  |
| 2.10                   | 141                          | 1        | 2 | -               | -                | - | 232  | 7  | 16 | 96056           | 3  | 3255  |
| 4.21                   | 273                          | 1        | 4 | -               | -                | - | 522  | 11 | 56 | 165330          | 1  | 2403  |
| 8.41                   | 656                          | 0.5      | 3 | -               | -                | - | 1185 | 2  | 18 | 344426          | 11 | 36882 |

<sup>\*</sup>See Table 8 for compounds' physical properties.

TABLE 7. COMPARISON OF SULFUR DETECTOR RESPONSES FOR FLAME PHOTOMETRIC AND CHEMILUMINESCENCE FOR DIETHYL SULFIDE AND ETHYL METHYL SULFIDE

| Diethyl Sulfide        |     |                |    |   |                  |        |                |                  |    |                 |    |       |
|------------------------|-----|----------------|----|---|------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|----|-----------------|----|-------|
|                        | Va  | arian Fl       | PD |   | Sie              | evers/ | HP <b>58</b> 8 | 0                |    | Sievers/HP 5890 |    |       |
| Molecular<br>Weight (1 | 1   | DB-5<br>1.5 µm |    |   | Rtx-35<br>1.0 μm |        |                | Rtx-35<br>1.0 μm |    |                 |    |       |
| (µg/mL)                | x   | %              | n  | х | %                | n      | ×              | %                | n  | x               | %  | n     |
| 0.71                   | -   | -              | -  | - | -                | -      | -              | -                | -  | 23958           | 4  | 937   |
| 1.77                   | 78  | 1              | 1  | - | -                | -      | 160            | 8                | 12 | 56274           | 2  | 1257  |
| 3.54                   | 154 | 0.6            | 1  | - | -                | -      | 287            | 6                | 18 | 114905          | 13 | 15451 |
| 7.08                   | 384 | 0.3            | 1  | - | -                | -      | 664            | 4                | 29 | 203554          | 3  | 5318  |

| Ethyl Methyl Sulfide  |     |          |    |                 |   |   |     |    |    |                 |   |      |
|---|-----|----------|----|-----------------|---|---|-----|----|----|-----------------|---|------|
|   | ٧   | arian Fl | PD | Sievers/HP 5880 |   |   |     |    |    | Sievers/HP 5890 |   |      |
| Molecular   DB-608   DB-5   Rtx-35   Rtx-35     Weight (11)*   0.83 μm   1.5 μm   1.0 μm   1.0 μm |     |          |    |                 |   |   |     |    |    |                 |   |      |
| (µg/mL)   | x   | %        | n  | x               | % | n | ×   | %  | n  | x               | % | n    |
| 0.78  | _   | -        | -  | -               | - | - | 35  | 77 | 27 | 36390           | 3 | 1090 |
| 1.55  | -   | -        | -  | •               | - | - | 97  | 32 | 31 | 77578           | 3 | 2506 |
| 3.11  | 157 | 1        | 2  | -               | - | - | 200 | 12 | 23 | 162882          | 4 | 6010 |
| 6.21  | 361 | 0.3      | 1  | -               | - | - | 436 | 5  | 22 | 338485          | 1 | 3525 |

<sup>\*</sup>See Table 8 for compounds' physical properties.

Since above data were not favorable, the compound was analyzed again. See below.

| (µg/mL) | x      | %  | n    |
|---------|--------|----|------|
| 0.77    | 144    | 72 | 125  |
| 1.55    | 2142   | 19 | 414  |
| 3.11    | 24603  | 4  | 866  |
| 6.21    | 109520 | 25 | 2617 |

TABLE 8. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF COMPOUNDS ANALYZED IN TABLE 1

| COMPOUND NO. | MOLECULAR WT | BOILING PT         | SULFUR CONTENT |
|--------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1            | 125.0        | 156-157            | 0.256          |
| 2            | 168.0        | 223                | 0.190          |
| 3            | 146.3        | 147-151            | 0.219          |
| 4            | 110.6        | 55-56<br>(30 MM)   | 0.289          |
| 5            | 123.0        | 115-120<br>(22 MM) | 0.260          |
| 6            | 104.0        | 147<br>(755 MM)    | 0.308          |
| 7            | 120.2        | *                  | 0.266          |
| 8            | 78.1         | 157-158            | 0.410          |
| 9            | 184.2        | *                  | 0.174          |
| 10           | 90.0         | 91                 | 0.356          |
| 11           | 76.1         | 66-67              | 0.420          |
| 12           | 258.5        | 180<br>(10 MM)     | 0.124          |
| 13           | 118.2        | 142-143            | 0.271          |
| 14           | 92.0         | 169-171            | 0.356          |
| 15           | 159.0        | 227.8              | 0.201          |

<sup>\*</sup>Compound is a solid.